

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,361	. 09/22/2003	Denis M. Boyle	6794A-000009/US/CPA 4467	
30593 HARNESS, DI	7590 06/12/2007 ESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 8910	0		DESAI, ANAND U	
RESTON, VA	20195		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/665,361	BOYLE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anand U. Desai, Ph.D.	1656				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 F	ebruary 2007.					
· <u>=</u>	, —					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,8,12,15-17,20,23,26,31,34,37,40 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,8,12,15-17,20,23,26,31,34,37,40 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration. 0,43,51,54 and 205-217 is/are reje					
Application Papers						
.9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 1656

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 12, 2007 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 8, 12, 15-17, 20, 23, 26, 31, 34, 37, 40, 43, 51, 54, and 205-217 are currently pending and are under examination.

#### Oath/Declaration

3. A new oath or declaration is required because it is not apparent that the pending application is a Continuation-In-Part of non-provisional U.S. Application Interim Serial No. P-107,891. The wording of an oath or declaration cannot be amended. If the wording is not correct or if all of the required affirmations have not been made or if it has not been properly subscribed to, a new oath or declaration is required. The new oath or declaration must properly identify the application of which it is to form a part, preferably by application number and filing date in the body of the oath or declaration. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

## Response to Remarks

4. The examiner acknowledges that the applicants have stated that a new Oath or Declaration will be provided in due time.

Art Unit: 1656

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 1-5, 8, 12, 15-17, 20, 23, 26, 31, 34, 37, 40, 43, 51, 54, and 205-217 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olson et al. (Chapter 12. Preparation and Characterization of Poly(ethylene glycol)ylated Human Growth Hormone Antagonist: (1997)) in view of Pharmacia Fine Chemicals (Chapter 2. Ion Exchange Chromatography Principles and Methods. Pharmacia Fine Chemicals: (1980)).

The rejection was explained in the office action mailed July 12, 2006.

### Response to Remarks

8. Applicants state the Office has failed to establish a prima facie case of obviousness.

Applicants state the Examiner has failed to show that every element of the claimed invention is disclosed by the prior art. Applicant's arguments filed February 12, 2007 have been fully

Art Unit: 1656

considered but they are not persuasive. Olson et al. do describe the alteration of association for pegylated species of growth hormone antagonists with the cation ion exchange column due to the amount of conjugated PEG to available amine groups on growth hormone antagonist peptide. The reduction in the number of amino groups results in decreased ionic interaction (see Page 174, Results and Discussion, Preparation of PEGylated Proteins section). As previously stated, the Pharmacia chapter does describe the theory of ion exchange. The chapter does state varying ionic conditions such as ionic strength of the buffers and pH can control the affinity to ion exchange resin. The chapter also states substances that "...carry both positively and negatively charged groups... are termed amphoteric and the charge that they carry is dependent on pH. At a certain pH value the substance will have zero net charge. This value is termed the isoelectric point (pI) and at this point substances are not bound to any type of ion exchanger. The choice of pH of the starting buffer thus determines the charge on amphoteric samples during the experiment. The chapter also states that either anion or cation exchange resins can be used for amphoteric samples, therefore either resin is suitable for the intended purpose of separating based on ionic association (see page 29, and page 30, summary item number 3). The claimed invention does not recite a limitation for a particular pH in all the claims as currently pending, and "...it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). Therefore it would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art to fractionate pegylated species of growth hormone antagonist peptide using an anion exchange resin in place of the cation exchange resin described by Olson et al. by adjusting to the appropriate pH as suggested by techniques known in the art as discussed by the Pharmacia chapter.

Art Unit: 1656

#### Conclusion

9. No claims are allowed.

10. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anand U. Desai, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0947. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 a.m. - 5:30 p.m.

Art Unit: 1656

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Kathleen Kerr Bragdon can be reached on (517) 272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

June 4, 2007

/Anand Desai/ Patent Examiner Art Unit 1656

PRIMARY EXAMINER